In an argument by analogy, Aristotle compares humans with objects and professions, and claims that a good person is one who performs their function well. Being an argument by analogy, it can be neither valid nor sound, nor is it strongly cogent. The analogy is weak because objects and professions come about because of a perceived need, and somebody designs them to fill that need, and they require a designer. Humans have no designer, and they were not put on the earth to fill a need. Instead they developed, through evolution, by random cell mutations. This is not to say we do not perform various functions in our lives but they are concerned with making a living, or maintaining relationships. They are means to an end, not a function that is inherent to every human. A good life, therefore, could well be activity of soul exhibiting excellence, but this is not based in human function.